

Identified Issues Pertinent to Negotiated Outcomes Dialogue (from March 2001 meeting)

Structure of goals agreed upon at March meeting:

- 1) *Planning horizon will be ten years.*
- 2) *Goals should be national in scope rather than regional.*

Overarching considerations:

- 1) Consider the expectations and goals of various stakeholder groups. Be respectful of different constituencies and motivations.
- 2) Outcomes should be framed in a way that facilitates monitoring/measurement of progress
- 3) Need data/characterization of existing recycling and reuse

Broad Issue Areas

Rates & Dates

- 1) Definitions (need to be consistent with FTC, etc.)
- 2) Desired levels of reuse and recycling
- 3) WTE
- 4) Disposal phaseouts
- 5) Phasing goals (different goals for different resins; residential v. commercial)
- 6) Evaluation

Overlap with CARE Development

- 1) How to fund system?
- 2) Learn from other organizational structures
- 3) Opt-out process
- 4) Data confidentiality
- 5) Anti-trust concerns
- 6) Information clearinghouse/tech transfer
- 7) Carrots/Sticks for Implementation of Outcomes (overlap w/ Policy Analysis)

Policy Analysis & Support

- 1) State activities to bolster negotiated outcomes
 - market development
 - low-interest loans, etc.
 - technical assistance
- 2) Examination of solid waste management policies that may positively/negatively impact carpet (disposal bans, construction & demolition policies, procurement)
- 3) Carrots/Sticks for Implementation of Outcomes (overlap w/ CARE Devl.)
- 4) Appropriate regulatory structure

Collection, Processing, & Market Development

- 1) Opportunities for increasing collection activities
- 2) Current and planned market development infrastructure—what can government and industry do to enhance?
- 3) Regulatory issues related to collection and processing?
- 4) Recyclability of downcycled products
- 5) Assessment of various recycling options and their relationship to each other